arXiv:0911.1162v2 [math.AG] 10 Jun 2010

# Noether's problem for $p$-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$

Ming-chang Kang

Department of Mathematics and
Taida Institute of Mathematical Sciences
National Taiwan University
Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

**Abstract** Let $K$ be any field and $G$ be a finite group. Let $G$ act on the rational function field $K(x_g : g \in G)$ by $K$-automorphisms defined by $g \cdot x_h = x_{gh}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Noether's problem asks whether the fixed field $K(G) = K(x_g : g \in G)^G$ is rational (=purely transcendental) over $K$. We will prove that if $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ ($n \geq 3$) containing a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$ and $K$ is any field containing a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity, then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$. As a corollary, if $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^3$ and $K$ is a field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity, then $K(G)$ is rational.

## §1. Introduction

Let $K$ be any field and $G$ be a finite group. Let $G$ act on the rational function field $K(x_g : g \in G)$ by $K$-automorphisms such that $g \cdot x_h = x_{gh}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $K(G)$ the fixed field $K(x_g : g \in G)^G$. Noether's problem asks whether $K(G)$ is rational (=purely transcendental) over $K$. It is related to the inverse Galois problem, to the existence of generic $G$-Galois extensions over $K$, and to the existence of versal $G$-torsors over $K$-rational field extensions [Sw; Sa1; GMS, 33.1, p.86]. Noether's problem for abelian groups was studied extensively by Swan, Voskresenskii, Endo, Miyata and Lenstra, etc. The reader is referred to Swan's paper for a survey of this problem [Sw].

---

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 13A50, 14E08, Secondary 11R32, 12F12, 14R20.
Keywords: Noether's problem, the rationality problem, the inverse Galois problem, $p$-groups.
Partially Supported by National Center for Theoretic Science (Taipei office).

On the other hand, just a handful of results about Noether's problem are obtained when the groups are not abelian. In this article we will restrict our attention to Noether's problem for non-abelian $p$-groups.

First we recall several known results of along this direction.

**Theorem 1.1** (Chu and Kang [CK]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian $p$-group of order $\le p^4$ and exponent $p^e$. Assume that $K$ is any field such that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^e$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.2** (Kang [Ka1]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian metacyclic $p$-group of exponent $p^e$. Assume that $K$ is any field such that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^e$-th root of unity. The $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.3** (Saltman [Sa2]) *Let $K$ be any field with $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ (in particular, $K$ may be any algebraically closed field with $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$). There exists a non-abelian $p$-group $G$ of order $p^9$ such that $K(G)$ is not rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.4** (Bogomolov [Bo]) *There exists a non-abelian $p$-group $G$ of order $p^6$ such that $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not rational over $\mathbb{C}$.*

**Theorem 1.5** (Chu, Hu, Kang and Prokhorov [CHKP]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian group of order 32 and exponent $2^e$. Assume that $K$ is a field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = 2$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $2^e$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.6** (Chu, Hu, Kang and Kunyavskii [CHKK]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian group of order 64 and $K$ be a quadratically closed field (in particular, $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$). Denote by $B_0(G,\mu)$ the unramified Brauer group of $G$ over $K$ (where $\mu$ is the multiplicative group of all roots of unity in $K\backslash\{0\}$), and by $G(i)$ the $i$-th group in the database of GAP for groups of order 64.*

1. *The following statements are equivalent,*
   - (a) $B_0(G,\mu) \neq 0$;
   - (b) $Z(G) \simeq C_2^2$, $[G,G] \simeq C_4 \times C_2$, $G/[G,G] \simeq C_2^3$, *$G$ has no abelian subgroup of index 2, and $G$ has no faithful 4-dimensional representation over $\mathbb{C}$;*
   - (c) *$G$ is isomorphic to one of the nine groups $G(i)$ where $i =$ 149, 150, 151, 170, 171, 172, 177, 178, 182.*
2. *If $B_0(G,\mu) \neq 0$, then $K(G)$ is not stably rational over $K$.*
3. *If $B_0(G,\mu) = 0$, then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ except possibly for groups $G$ which is isomorphic to $G(i)$ with $241 \le i \le 245$.*

**Theorem 1.7** (Hu and Kang [HuK]) *Let $n \geq 3$ and $G$ be a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ such that $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of index $p$. Assume that $K$ is any field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

The main result of this article is the following theorem, which is a generalization of the above Theorem 1.7.

**Theorem 1.8** *Let $n \geq 3$ and $G$ be a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ such that $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$. Assume that $K$ is any field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

Using Theorem 1.7, the proof of Theorem 1.8 consists of three ingredients : (a) rationality criteria mentioned before and some other ones to be summarized in the next section, (b) classification of $p$-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$, which is due to Ninomiya (see Section 3), and (c) a case by case study of the rationality problems for the groups in (b). Although there are so many groups to be checked and a case by case study looks formidable, the rationality problems of most of these groups look rather similar. It turns out that there are only three typical cases, i.e. Case 1 and Case 5 of Section 4 and Case 5 of Section 5.

By the way, we remark that, if $K$ doesn't contain enough roots of unity (e.g. $K = \mathbb{Q}$) and $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group, the rationality of $K(G)$ is known only for a few cases at present. See [CHK; Ka2; Ka4] and the references therein.

By Theorem 1.8, it is possible to simplify the proof of Theorem 1.1 as follows. Using Theorem 1.8, to show that $K(G)$ is rational when $G$ is a non-abelian group with order $p^3$ or $p^4$, it suffices to consider the rationality problem of $K(G)$ where $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^4$ and exponent $p$ (such that $K$ is a field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity). There are only two non-isomorphic groups of this type, i.e. (VI) and (VII) in [CK, Theorem 3.2]. The rationality of $K(G)$ for these two groups can be proved by the same method as in [CK].

We organize this article as follows. Section 2 contains more rationality criteria which will be used subsequently. In Section 3, we recall the classification of non-abelian $p$-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$ by Ninomiya [Ni], which was reproved by Berkovich and Janko [BJ1]. The proof of Theorem 1.8 is given in Section 4 and Section 5.

**Standing Notations.** Throughout this article, $K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ or $K(x, y)$ will be rational function fields over $K$. $\zeta_n$ denotes a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Whenever we write $\operatorname{char} K \nmid n$, it is understood that either $\operatorname{char} K = 0$ or $\operatorname{char} K > 0$ with $\gcd\{n, \operatorname{char} K\} = 1$. When we write $\zeta_n \in K$, it is assumed tacitly that $\operatorname{char} K \nmid n$. A field extension $L$ of $K$ is called rational over $K$ (or $K$-rational, for short) if $L \simeq K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ over $K$ for some integer $n$. $L$ is stably rational over $K$ if $L(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is rational over $K$ for some $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ which are algebraically independent over $L$. Recall that $K(G)$ denotes $K(x_g : g \in G)^G$ where $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for $h, g \in G$.

A group $G$ is called metacyclic, if $G$ can be generated by two elements $\sigma$ and $\tau$, and one of them generates a normal subgroup of $G$. $C_n$ denotes the cyclic group of order $n$. The exponent of a finite group $G$ is $\operatorname{lcm}\{\operatorname{ord}(g) : g \in G\}$ where $\operatorname{ord}(g)$ is the order of $g$.

If $G$ is a finite group acting on a rational function field $K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ by $K$-automorphisms, the actions of $G$ are called purely monomial actions if, for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\sigma \cdot x_j = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{a_{ij}}$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$; similarly, the actions of $G$ are called monomial actions if, for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\sigma \cdot x_j = \lambda_j(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{a_{ij}}$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_j(\sigma) \in K \backslash \{0\}$. All the groups in this article are finite groups.

## §2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall several results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.8.

**Theorem 2.1** (Kuniyoshi [Ku]) *Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$ and $G$ be a $p$-group. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 2.2** ([HK, Theorem 1]) *Let $G$ be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the rational function field of $n$ variables over a field $L$. Suppose that*

(i) *for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;*

(ii) *the restriction of the action of $G$ to $L$ is faithful;*

(iii) *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \sigma(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

*where $A(\sigma) \in GL_n(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is an $n \times 1$ matrix over $L$.*

*Then there exist elements $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = L(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

**Theorem 2.3** ([AHK, Theorem 3.1]) *Let $L$ be any field, $L(x)$ the rational function field of one variable over $L$, and $G$ a finite group acting on $L(x)$. Suppose that, for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$ and $\sigma(x) = a_\sigma \cdot x + b_\sigma$ where $a_\sigma, b_\sigma \in L$ and $a_\sigma \neq 0$. Then $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$ for some polynomial $f \in L[x]$. In fact, if $m = \min\{\deg g(x) : g(x) \in L[x]^G \backslash L\}$, any polynomial $f \in L[x]^G$ with $\deg f = m$ satisfies the property $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$.*

**Theorem 2.4** ([KP, Theorem 1.9]) *Let $K$ be any field, $G_1$ and $G_2$ be two finite groups. If both $K(G_1)$ and $K(G_2)$ are rational over $K$, so is $K(G_1 \times G_2)$.*

**Theorem 2.5** ([Ha]) *Let $G$ be a finite group acting on the rational function field $K(x,y)$ by monomial $K$-autpmprphisms. Then $K(x,y)^G$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 2.6** (Fischer [Sw, Theorem 6.1; KP, Corollary 1.5]) *Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of exponent $e$, and let $K$ be a field containing a primitive $e$-th root of unity. For any linear representation $G \to GL(V)$ over $K$, the fixed field $K(V)^G$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 2.7** ([Ka3, Theorem 1.4]) *Let $K$ be a field and $G$ be a finite group. Assume that* (i) *$G$ contains an abelian normal subgroup $H$ so that $G/H$ is cyclic of order $n$,* (ii) *$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain, and* (iii) *$\zeta_e \in K$ where $e$ is the exponent of $G$. If $G \to GL(V)$ is any finite-dimensional linear representation of $G$ over $K$, then $K(V)^G$ is rational over $K$.*

# §3. Ninomiya's Theorem

Let $n \geq 3$ and $p$ be a prime number. A complete list of non-abelian $p$-groups of order $p^n$ containing a cyclic subgroup of index $p$ was given by Burnside early in 1911 (see, for examples, [Su, p.107; HuK, Theorem 1.9; Ni, p.1]). The classification of non-abelian $p$-groups of order $p^n$ containing a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$ was completed rather late. This problem was investigated by Burnside, G. A. Miller, etc. (see [Ni, Remark 3]). The classification problem was solved by Yasushi Ninomiya in 1994 [Ni]. M. Kumar and L. Vermani, apparently ignorant of Ninomiya's paper, provides a partial list of these groups in [KV]. Unfortunately their list contained some mistakes, which were detected in [FN, p.31–32]. A different proof of Ninomiya's Theorem was given by Berkovich and Janko [BJ1, Section 11; BJ2, Section 74]. Now we state Ninomiya's Theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** (Ninomiya [Ni, Theorem 1]) *Let $n \geq 3$ and $p$ be an odd prime number. The finite non-abelian $p$-groups of order $p^n$ which have a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$, but haven't a cyclic subgroup of order $p$ are of the following types:*

(I) $n \geq 3$
$G_1 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma\lambda \rangle$.

(II) $n \geq 4$
$G_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^{p^2} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{p^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_5 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{p^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_6 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{a \cdot p^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$
*where $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \backslash \{\bar{0}\}$ is a quadratic non-residue,*
$G_7 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$.

(III) $n \geq 5$
$G_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^{p^2} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-4}} \rangle$,
$G_9 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^{p^2} = 1, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^{1+p} \rangle$.

(IV) $n \geq 6$
$G_{10} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = 1, \sigma^{p^{n-3}} = \tau^{p^2}, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^{1-p} \rangle$.

(V) $n = 4$ *and* $p = 3$
$G_{11} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^9 = \tau^3 = 1, \sigma^3 = \lambda^3, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^6\tau \rangle$.

**Theorem 3.2** (Ninomiya [Ni, Theorem 2]) *Let $n \geq 4$. The finite non-abelian groups of order $2^n$ which have a cyclic subgroup of index 4, but haven't a cyclic subgroup of index 2 are of the following types:*

(I) $n \geq 4$
$G_1 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \tau^2, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_5 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$.

(II) $n \geq 5$
$G_6 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_7 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \tau^4, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_9 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_{10} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{11} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{12} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{13} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{14} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \lambda^2, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{15} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}}, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{16} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}},$
$\lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{17} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{18} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = 1, \lambda^2 = \tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}\tau \rangle$.

(III) $n \geq 6$

$G_{19} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-4}} \rangle$,
$G_{20} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}} \rangle$,
$G_{21} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \tau^4, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \tau^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_{22} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{1+2^{n-4}}\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{23} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}}\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{24} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}}, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{25} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \lambda^2, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}}$,
$\tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,

## §4. Proof of Theorem 1.8 when $p \geq 3$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.8 when $p$ is an odd prime number.

If $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, apply Theorem 2.1. Thus $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.

From now on till the end of this section, we assume that $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ and $K$ contains a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity where $G$ is a $p$-group of order $p^n$ with $n \geq 3$.

Throughout this section, we will denote by $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ for a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity.

Suppose that $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of index $p$. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 1.7. Thus we may consider only those groups $G$ which have no cyclic subgroup of index $p$, i.e. $G$ is one of the 11 groups listed in Theorem 3.1.

We explain the general strategy of our proof. Let $V$ be a $K$-vector space whose dual space $V^*$ is defined as $V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ where $G$ acts on $V^*$ by $h \cdot x(g) = x(hg)$ for any $h, g \in G$. Thus $K(V)^G = K(x(g) : g \in G)^G = K(G)$. We will find a faithful subspace $W = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} K \cdot y_i$ of $V^*$. By Theorem 2.2, $K(G)$ is rational over $K(y_1, \ldots, y_k)^G$. In particular, if $K(y_1, \ldots, y_k)^G$ is rational over $K$, so is $K(G)$ over $K$. As we will see, this faithful subspace $W$ is constructed as an induced representation of certain 2-dimensional (or 3-dimensional) representation of some abelian subgroup of $G$. We will illustrate this idea in Step 1 of Case 1 in the following proof of Theorem 1.8.

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.8 for $p \geq 3$.

*Case 1.* $G = G_1$ where $G_1$ is the group in Theorem 3.1.

Step 1. Recall that $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ and $V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ on which $G$ acts by the regular representation.

Define $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$. Thus $\omega$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

Define $X_1, X_2 \in V^*$ be

$$X_1 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^{n-2}-1} x(\sigma^j), \quad X_2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p-1} x(\lambda^j).$$

Note that $\sigma \cdot X_1 = X_1$ and $\lambda \cdot X_2 = X_2$.

Define $Y_1, Y_2 \in V^*$ by

$$Y_1 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p-1} \omega^{-j} \lambda^j \cdot X_1, \quad Y_2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^{n-2}-1} \zeta^{-j} \sigma^j \cdot X_2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: &\ Y_1 \mapsto Y_1,\ Y_2 \mapsto \zeta Y_2, \\ \lambda: &\ Y_1 \mapsto \omega Y_1,\ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $K \cdot Y_1 + K \cdot Y_2$ is a representation space of the subgroup $\langle \sigma, \lambda \rangle$.

Define $x_i = \tau^i \cdot Y_1$, $y_i = \tau^i \cdot Y_2$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$. It is easy to verify that, for $0 \le i \le p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: &\ x_i \mapsto \omega^i x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \zeta y_i, \\ \tau: &\ x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ &\ y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0, \\ \lambda: &\ x_i \mapsto \omega x_i,\ y_i \mapsto y_i. \end{aligned}$$

We find that $Y = \left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le p-1} K \cdot x_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le p-1} K \cdot y_i\right)$ is a faithful $G$-subspace of $V^*$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Step 2. For $1 \le i \le p-1$, define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$ and $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$. Thus $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1) = K(x_0, y_0, u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ and,

$$\rho \cdot x_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) \cdot x_0, \quad \rho \cdot y_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) \cdot y_0,$$

while the subfield $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ is invariant (as a subfield) by the action of $G$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma: &\ u_i \mapsto \omega u_i,\ v_i \mapsto v_i, \\ \lambda: &\ u_i \mapsto u_i,\ v_i \mapsto v_i, \\ \tau: &\ u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ &\ v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Theorem 2.3. We find that, if $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$, so is $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1)^G$ over $K$. It remains to show that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Since $\lambda$ acts trivially on $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$, we find that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G = K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$.

Step 3. We will linearize the action of $\tau$ on $v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}$.

Define $t_0 = 1 + v_1 + v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_2 v_3 + \cdots + v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p-1}$, $t_1 = 1/t_0$, $t_i = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{i-1}/t_0$ for $2 \le i \le p$. Note that $\sum_{1 \le i \le p} t_i = 1$, $K(v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) = K(t_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ and

$$\tau : t_0 \mapsto t_0/v_1,\ t_1 \mapsto t_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto t_{p-1} \mapsto t_p = 1 - t_1 - t_2 - \cdots - t_{p-1}.$$

Thus $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) = K(u_i, t_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$.

Define $T_i = t_i - (1/p)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Then $\tau : T_1 \mapsto T_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto T_{p-1} \mapsto -T_1 - \cdots - T_{p-1}$.

Step 4. Apply Theorem 2.2 to $L(t_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$ where $L = K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)$. It remains to show that $K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$ is rational over $K$.

Define $w_1 = u_1^p$, $w_i = u_i / u_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p-1$. Then $K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(w_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)$ and the action of $\tau$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \ & z_1 \mapsto z_1 z_2^p, \\ & z_2 \mapsto z_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-1} \mapsto (z_1 z_2^{p-1} z_3^{p-2} \cdots z_{p-1}^2)^{-1} \mapsto z_1 z_2^{p-2} z_3^{p-3} \cdots z_{p-2}^2 z_{p-1} \mapsto z_2. \end{aligned}$$

Define $s_1 = z_2$, $s_i = \tau^{i-1} \cdot z_2$ for $2 \leq i \leq p-1$. Then $K(z_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1) = K(s_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)$ and

$$\tau : s_1 \mapsto s_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto s_{p-1} \mapsto (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{p-1})^{-1} \mapsto s_1.$$

The action of $\tau$ can be linearized as in Step 3. Thus $K(s_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \tau \rangle}$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.6. Done.

*Case 2.* $G = G_2$.

$G$ is a metacyclic group. Apply Theorem 1.2. We find that $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 3.* $G = G_3$.

Define $H = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$. Then $G \simeq H \times C_p$. $K(H)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 1.2 (alternatively, by Theorem 1.7). $K(C_p)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.6. Thus $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.4.

*Case 4.* $G = G_4$.

By the same method as in Step 1 of Case 1, for the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, choose $Y_1, Y_2 \in V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that $\sigma \cdot Y_1 = Y_1$, $\sigma \cdot Y_2 = \zeta Y_2$, $\tau \cdot Y_1 = \omega Y_1$, $\tau \cdot Y_2 = Y_2$ where $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ and $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$.

Define $x_i = \lambda^i \cdot Y_1$, $y_i = \lambda^i \cdot Y_2$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. It follows that, for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \ & x_i \mapsto x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \zeta y_i, \\ \tau : \ & x_i \mapsto \omega x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \omega^i y_i, \\ \lambda : \ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ & y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$. The proof is almost the same as in Case 1. Define $u_i = x_i / x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i / y_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & u_i \mapsto u_i,\ v_i \mapsto v_i,\\
\tau :\ & u_i \mapsto u_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega v_i,\\
\lambda :\ & u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1},\\
& v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Compare with the situation in Case 1. It is not difficult to show that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 5.* $G = G_5$.

Step 1. For the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ by the same way as in Case 4.

Define $x_i$, $y_i$ where $0 \le i \le p-1$ by the same formulae as in Case 4. Note that, for $0 \le i \le p-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & x_i \mapsto \omega^i x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \zeta \omega^{\binom{i}{2}} y_i,\\
\tau :\ & x_i \mapsto \omega x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \omega^i y_i,\\
\lambda :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0,\\
& y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ and $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$.

Define $u_i = x_i / x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i / y_{i-1}$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$. It suffices to show that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & u_i \mapsto \omega u_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega^{i-1} v_i,\\
\tau :\ & u_i \mapsto u_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega v_i,\\
\lambda :\ & u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1},\\
& v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It follows that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = K(u_i, V_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ where $V_1 = v_1^p$ and $V_i = v_i / v_{i-1}$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$.

Note that $\sigma : V_1 \mapsto V_1$, $V_i \mapsto \omega V_i$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$. Moreover, $K(u_i, V_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ where $z_1 = u_1^p$, $w_1 = V_1$, $z_i = u_i / u_{i-1}$, $w_i = V_i / u_i$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$.

The action of $\lambda$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda :\ & z_1 \mapsto z_1 z_2^p,\ z_2 \mapsto z_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-1} \mapsto (z_1 z_2^{p-1} z_3^{p-2} \cdots z_{p-1}^2)^{-1},\\
& w_1 \mapsto z_1 z_2^p w_1 w_2^p,\ w_2 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{p-1} \mapsto A \cdot (w_1 w_2^{p-1} w_3^{p-2} \cdots w_{p-1}^2)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $A$ is some monomial in $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{p-1}$.

We will "linearize" the above action.

Step 2. We write the additive version of the multiplication action of $\lambda$ in Formula (2), i.e. consider the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $M = \left(\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot z_i\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot w_i\right)$ corresponding to (2) where $\pi = \langle\lambda\rangle$. Thus $\lambda$ acts on the $\mathbb{Z}$-base $z_i$, $w_i$ $(1\le i\le p-1)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda:\ & z_1\mapsto z_1+pz_2,\\ & z_2\mapsto z_3\mapsto\cdots\mapsto z_{p-1}\mapsto -z_1-(p-1)z_2-(p-2)z_3-\cdots-2z_{p-1},\\ & w_1\mapsto w_1+pw_2+z_1+pz_2,\\ & w_2\mapsto w_3\mapsto\cdots\mapsto w_{p-1}\mapsto -w_1-(p-1)w_2-(p-2)w_3-\cdots-2w_{p-1}+B\end{aligned}$$

where $B\in\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot z_i$ (in fact, $B=\log A$ when interpreted suitably).

Define $M_1=\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot z_i$, which is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-submodule of $M$. Define $M_2=M/M_1$.

It follows that we have a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules

$$0\to M_1\to M\to M_2\to 0. \tag{3}$$

It is easy to see that $M_1\simeq M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules.

By Step 4 of Case 1, $M_1$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $N=\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot s_i$ where $s_1=z_2$, $s_i=\lambda^{i-1}\cdot z_2$ for $2\le i\le p-1$, and

$$\lambda: s_1\mapsto s_2\mapsto\cdots\mapsto s_{p-1}\mapsto -s_1-s_2-\cdots-s_{p-1}\mapsto s_1.$$

Let $\Phi_p(T)\in\mathbb{Z}[T]$ be the $p$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\simeq\mathbb{Z}[T]/T^p-1$, we find that $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]/\Phi_p(\lambda)\simeq\mathbb{Z}[T]/\Phi_p(T)\simeq\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, the ring of $p$-th cyclotomic integer. Note that the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $N$ can be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module through the morphism $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\to\mathbb{Z}[\pi]/\Phi_p(\lambda)$. When $N$ is regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module, $N\simeq\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ the rank-one free $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module.

We claim that $M$ itself may be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module, i.e. $\Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot M=0$.

Return to the multiplicative notations in Step 1. Note that $z_i$ and $w_i$ (where $1\le i\le p-1$) are monomials in $u_i$ and $v_i$ (where $1\le i\le p-1$). The action of $\lambda$ on $u_i$, $v_i$ given in Formula (1) satisfies the relation $\prod_{0\le i\le p-1}\lambda^j(u_i)=\prod_{0\le i\le p-1}\lambda^j(v_i)=1$ for any $1\le i\le p-1$. Using the additive notations, we get $\Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot u_i=\Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot v_i=0$ for $1\le i\le p-1$. Hence $\Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot m=0$ for any $m\in M\subset\left(\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot u_i\right)\oplus\left(\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot v_i\right)$.

In particular, the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules in Formula (3) is a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-modules.

Since $M_1\simeq M_2\simeq N$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module, the short exact sequence in Formula (3) splits, i.e. $M\simeq M_1\oplus M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-modules, and so as $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules also.

We interpret the additive version of $M\simeq M_1\oplus M_2\simeq N^2$ in terms of the multiplicative version as follows: There exist $Z_i$, $W_i$ (where $1\le i\le p-1$) such that $Z_i$ (resp.

$W_i$) are monomials in $z_j$ and $w_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $K(z_i, w_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1) = K(Z_i, W_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)$; moreover, $\lambda$ acts as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : \ & Z_1 \mapsto Z_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto Z_{p-1} \mapsto (Z_1 \cdots Z_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ & W_1 \mapsto W_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto W_{p-1} \mapsto (W_1 \cdots W_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The above action can be linearized (see Step 3 of Case 1). Thus $K(Z_i, W_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \lambda \rangle}$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.6. This finishes the proof.

*Case 6.* $G = G_6$.

As in Case 5, for the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$; and define $x_i, y_i \in V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that, for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \ & x_i \mapsto \omega^i x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \zeta \omega^{\binom{i}{2} a} y_i, \\ \tau : \ & x_i \mapsto \omega x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \omega^{ia} y_i, \\ \lambda : \ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ & y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$. The proof is almost the same as in the previous Case 5. For $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \ & u_i \mapsto \omega u_i, \ v_i \mapsto \omega^{(i-1)a} v_i, \\ \tau : \ & u_i \mapsto u_i, \ v_i \mapsto \omega^a v_i, \\ \lambda : \ & u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ & v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = K(u_i, V_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)$ where $V_1 = v_1^p$, $V_i = v_i/v_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p-1$. The action of $\sigma$ is given by

$$\sigma : V_1 \mapsto V_1, \ V_i \mapsto \omega^a V_i$$

for $2 \leq i \leq p-1$.

Define $z_1 = u_1^p$, $w_1 = V_1$, $z_i = u_i/u_{i-1}$, $w_i = V_i/u_i^a$ for $2 \leq i \leq p-1$. We get $K(u_i, V_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)^{\langle \sigma \rangle} = K(z_i, w_i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1)$. The remaining proof is the same as in Case 5.

*Case 7.* $G = G_7$.

As before, let $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$, $\xi = \zeta^p$, $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$ and find $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^p : \ & Y_1 \mapsto \xi Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2, \ Y_3 \mapsto Y_3, \\ \tau : \ & Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto \omega Y_2, \ Y_3 \mapsto Y_3, \\ \lambda : \ & Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2, \ Y_3 \mapsto \omega Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \le i \le p-1$, define $x_i = \sigma^i Y_1$, $y_i = \sigma^i Y_2$, $z_i = \sigma^i Y_3$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma:\ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto \xi x_0, \\
& y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0, \\
& z_0 \mapsto z_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-1} \mapsto z_0, \\
\sigma^p:\ & x_i \mapsto \xi x_i,\ y_i \mapsto y_i,\ z_i \mapsto z_i, \\
\tau:\ & x_i \mapsto \omega^{-i} x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \omega y_i,\ z_i \mapsto z_i, \\
\lambda:\ & x_i \mapsto \omega^{\binom{i}{2}} x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \omega^{-i} y_i,\ z_i \mapsto \omega z_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$, $w_i = z_i/z_{i-1}$ where $1 \le i \le p-1$. It remains to show that $K(u_i, v_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Define $W_0 = 1 + w_1 + w_1 w_2 + \cdots + w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{p-1}$, $W_1 = 1/W_0$, $W_i = w_1 \cdots w_{i-1}/W_0$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$; define $U_i = u_i/\zeta$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$. It is easy to check that $K(u_i, v_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) = K(U_i, v_i, W_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma:\ & U_1 \mapsto U_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto U_{p-1} \mapsto (U_1 \cdots U_{p-1})^{-1} \mapsto U_1, \\
& v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1} \mapsto v_1, \\
& W_1 \mapsto W_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto W_{p-1} \mapsto 1 - W_1 - W_2 - \cdots - W_{p-1}, \\
\tau:\ & U_i \mapsto \omega^{-1} U_i,\ v_i \mapsto v_i,\ W_i \mapsto W_i, \\
\lambda:\ & U_i \mapsto \omega^{i-1} U_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega^{-1} v_i,\ W_i \mapsto W_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By Theorem 2.2, if $K(U_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$, so is $K(U_i, v_i, W_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ over $K$. Thus it remains to show that $K(U_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Compare the actions of $\sigma$, $\tau$, $\lambda$ in Formula (4) with those in Formula (1). They look almost the same. Use the same method in Case 5. We find that $K(U_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda \rangle}$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 8.* $G = G_8, G_9, G_{10}$.

These groups are metacyclic $p$-groups. Apply Theorem 1.2 to conclude that $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 9.* $G = G_{11}$.

This group is of order 81 and with exponent 9. Apply Theorem 1.1. We find that $K(G)$ is rational over $K$. Done.

# §5. Proof of Theorem 1.8 when $p = 2$

The idea of the proof for this situation is the same as that in Section 4.

Thanks to Theorem 2.1, we may assume that char $K \neq 2$ and $K$ contains $\zeta = \zeta_{2^{n-2}}$, a primitive $2^{n-2}$-th root of unity.

If $G$ is a non-abelian group of order 8, it is isomorphic to the dihedral group or the quaternion group. Thus $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ by [CHK, Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.7].

From now on, we assume $G$ is a non-abelian group of order $2^n$ with $n \geq 4$. Since Theorem 1.7 takes care of the case when $G$ has an element of order $2^{n-1}$, we may consider only the case when $G$ has an element of order $2^{n-2}$, but hasn't elements of order $2^{n-1}$. Hence we may use the classification of $G$ provided by Theorem 3.2. Namely, we will consider only those 25 groups in Theorem 3.2.

*Case 1.* $G = G_1, G_6, G_7, G_8, G_9, G_{19}, G_{20}, G_{21}$ in Theorem 3.2.

These groups are metacyclic groups. Apply Theorem 1.2. Done.

*Case 2.* $G = G_2, G_3, G_{10}, G_{11}, G_{12}$.

Each of these groups $G$ contains a subgroup $H$ such that $G \simeq H \times C_2$. Moreover, $H$ has a cyclic subgroup of index 2. For example, when $G = G_2$, take $H = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$. Apply Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 2.4.

*Case 3.* $G = G_4, G_5, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{22}, G_{23}$.

Each of these groups $G$ contains an abelian normal subgroup $H$ of index 2. Apply Theorem 2.7.

*Case 4.* $G = G_{26}$.

This group is of order 32 and with exponent 8. Apply Theorem 1.5.

*Case 5.* $G = G_{15}$.

Denote $\zeta = \zeta_{2^{n-1}}$. Define $\xi = \zeta^2$.

As in the proof of the previous section, for the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1, Y_2 \in \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &: \ Y_1 \mapsto \xi Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2, \\ \tau &: \ Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto -Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Define $x_0 = Y_1$, $x_1 = \sigma Y_1$, $x_2 = \lambda Y_1$, $x_3 = \lambda\sigma Y_1$, $y_0 = Y_2$, $y_1 = \sigma Y_2$, $y_2 = \lambda Y_2$, $y_3 = \lambda\sigma Y_2$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \xi x_0, \ x_2 \mapsto -\xi^{-1} x_3, \ x_3 \mapsto -x_2, \\ & \quad\ y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto y_0, \ y_2 \mapsto y_3 \mapsto y_2, \\ \tau &: \ x_0 \mapsto x_0, \ x_1 \mapsto -x_1, \ x_2 \mapsto x_2, \ x_3 \mapsto -x_3, \\ & \quad\ y_i \mapsto -y_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 3, \\ \lambda &: \ x_0 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_0, \ x_1 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1, \ y_0 \mapsto y_2 \mapsto y_0, \ y_1 \mapsto y_3 \mapsto y_1. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq 4)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Define $u_1 = x_2/x_0$, $u_2 = x_3/x_1$, $u_3 = y_2/y_0$, $u_4 = y_3/y_1$. Then $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq 3)^G = K(x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1, u_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4)^G = K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4)^G(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ by Theorem 2.3, because the action of $G$ sends $K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4)$ into itself as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & u_1 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}u_2,\ u_2 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}u_1,\ u_3 \mapsto u_4 \mapsto u_3,\\
\tau :\ & u_i \mapsto u_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4,\\
\lambda :\ & u_i \mapsto 1/u_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $v_i = (1 - u_i)/(1 + u_i)$ for $3 \leq i \leq 4$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & v_3 \mapsto v_4 \mapsto v_3,\\
\tau :\ & v_3 \mapsto v_3,\ v_4 \mapsto v_4,\\
\lambda :\ & v_3 \mapsto -v_3,\ v_4 \mapsto -v_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4)^G = K(u_1, u_2, v_3, v_4)^G = K(u_1, u_2)^G(z_1, z_2)$ by Theorem 2.2. Applying Theorem 2.5, we find that $K(u_1, u_2)^G$ is rational over $K$. Done.

*Case 6.* $G = G_{16}$.

The proof is almost the same as the previous Case 5. For the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$. Define $x_i$, $y_i$ (where $0 \leq i \leq 3$) by the same way and try to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \leq i \leq 3)^G$ is rational. The action of $G$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \xi x_0,\ x_2 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}x_3,\ x_3 \mapsto -x_2,\\
& y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto y_0,\ y_2 \mapsto y_3 \mapsto y_2,\\
\tau :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_0,\ x_1 \mapsto -x_1,\ x_2 \mapsto -x_2,\ x_3 \mapsto x_3,\\
& y_i \mapsto -y_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 3,\\
\lambda :\ & x_0 \leftrightarrow x_2,\ x_1 \leftrightarrow x_3,\ y_0 \leftrightarrow y_2,\ y_1 \leftrightarrow y_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $u_1 = x_2/x_0$, $u_2 = x_3/x_1$, $u_3 = y_2/y_0$, $u_4 = y_3/y_1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & u_1 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}u_2,\ u_2 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}u_1,\ u_3 \mapsto u_4 \mapsto u_3,\\
\tau :\ & u_1 \mapsto -u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto -u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto u_3,\ u_4 \mapsto u_4,\\
\lambda :\ & u_i \mapsto 1/u_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 4.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that $K(u_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4)^G$ is rational over $K$. Replace $u_3$ and $u_4$ by $v_3$ an $v_4$ as in Case 5 where $v_i = (1 - u_i)/(1 + u_i)$ for $i = 3, 4$. Apply Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5. Done.

*Case 7.* $G = G_{17}$.

This group is the special case of $G_7$ in Theorem 3.1 when $p = 2$. Note that, in the Case 7 of Section 4, we don't use anything whether $p$ is odd or even. Thus the proof is still valid for this situation.

Alternatively, we may use the same method in Case 5 of this section. For the abelian subgroup $\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$. Define $x_i$, $y_i$ for $0\le i\le 3$ and then define $u_1=x_2/x_0$, $u_2=x_3/x_1$, $u_3=y_2/y_0$, $u_4=y_3/y_1$. Then replace $u_3$ and $u_4$ by $v_3$ and $v_4$. The details are omitted.

*Case 8.* $G=G_{18},G_{23},G_{24}$.

Again the proof is almost the same, but some modification should be carried out. We illustrate the situation $G=G_{18}$ as follows.

Consider the abelian subgroup $\langle\sigma^2,\tau\rangle$ and define $Y_1$, $Y_2$, $x_i$, $y_i$ (where $0\le i\le 3$) and $u_i$ where $1\le i\le 4$. Then action of $G$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma:\ u_1\mapsto \xi^{-1}u_2,\ u_2\mapsto -\xi^{-1}u_1,\ u_3\mapsto -u_4,\ u_4\mapsto -u_3,\\
&\tau:\ u_i\mapsto u_i \quad \text{for } 1\le i\le 4,\\
&\lambda:\ u_i\mapsto 1/u_i \quad \text{for } 1\le i\le 4,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi=\zeta_{2^{n-2}}^2$.

Define $v_3=(1-u_3)/(1+u_3)$ and $v_4=(1+u_4)/(1-u_4)$ (note that the definition of $v_4$ is somewhat different from that of $v_3$). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma:\ v_3\leftrightarrow v_4,\\
&\tau:\ v_3\mapsto v_3,\ v_4\mapsto v_4\\
&\lambda:\ v_3\mapsto -v_3,\ v_4\mapsto -v_4.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining proof is the same as before.

The situation when $G=G_{23}$ or $G_{24}$ is the same as the situation $G=G_{18}$. Done.

# References

[AHK] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Rationality of some projective linear actions*, J. Algebra 228 (2000), 643–658.

[BJ1] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, *Structure of finite p-groups with given subgroups*, in "Ischia Group Theory 2004", edited by Z. Arad etc., Contemp. Math. vol. 402, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2006.

[BJ2] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, *Groups of prime power order*, vol. 2, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2008.

[Bo] F. A. Bogomolov, *The Brauer group of quotient spaces by linear group actions*, Math. USSR Izv. 30 (1988), 455–485.

[CHK] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu and M. Kang, *Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004), 147–159.

[CHKK] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether's problem and the unramified Brauer groups for groups of order 64*, preprint.

[CHKP] H. Chu, S. J. Hu, M. Kang and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Noether's problem for groups of order 32*, J. Algebra 320 (2008), 3022–3035.

[CK] H. Chu and M. Kang, *Rationality of p-group actions*, J. Algebra 237 (2001), 673–690.

[FN] M. Fuma and Y. Ninomiya, *"Hasse principle" for finite p-groups with cyclic subgroups of index* $p^2$, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 46 (2004), 31–38.

[GMS] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P. Serre, Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology, AMS Univ. Lecture Series vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2003.

[Ha] M. Hajja, *Rationality of finite groups of monomial automorphisms of* $K(x, y)$, J. Algebra 109 (1987), 46–51.

[HK] M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Some actions of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra 177 (1995), 511–535.

[HuK] S.-J. Hu and M. Kang, *Noether's problem for some p-groups*, in "Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems", edited by F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, Progress in Math. vol. 282, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.

[Ka1] M. Kang, *Noether's problem for metacyclic p-groups*, Advances in Math. 203 (2005), 554–567.

[Ka2] M. Kang, *Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups II*, Pacific J. Math. 222 (2005), 301–316.

[Ka3] M. Kang, *Rationality problem for some meta-abelian groups*, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 1214–1219.

[Ka4] M. Kang, *Retract rationality and Noether's problem*, International Math. Research Notices 15 (2009), 2760–2788.

[KP] M. Kang and B. Plans, *Reduction theorems for Noether's problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 1867–1874.

[Ku] H. Kuniyoshi, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. 8 (1955), 65–67.

[KV] M. Kumar and L. R. Vermani, *On automorphisms of some p-groups*, Proc. Japan Acad. 78A (2002), 46–50.

[Ni] Y. Ninomiya, *Finte p-groups with cyclic subgroups of index* $p^2$, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 36 (1994), 1–21.

[Sa1] D. J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Advances in Math. 43 (1982), 250–283.

[Sa2] D. J. Saltman, *Noether's problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 71–84.

[Su] M. Suzuki, *Group theory II*, Grund. Math. Wiss. vol. 248, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[Sw] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in “Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr”, edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

arXiv:0911.1162v2 [math.AG] 10 Jun 2010

# Noether's problem for $p$-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$

Ming-chang Kang

Department of Mathematics and
Taida Institute of Mathematical Sciences
National Taiwan University
Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

**Abstract** Let $K$ be any field and $G$ be a finite group. Let $G$ act on the rational function field $K(x_g : g \in G)$ by $K$-automorphisms defined by $g \cdot x_h = x_{gh}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Noether's problem asks whether the fixed field $K(G) = K(x_g : g \in G)^G$ is rational (=purely transcendental) over $K$. We will prove that if $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ ($n \geq 3$) containing a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$ and $K$ is any field containing a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity, then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$. As a corollary, if $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^3$ and $K$ is a field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity, then $K(G)$ is rational.

## §1. Introduction

Let $K$ be any field and $G$ be a finite group. Let $G$ act on the rational function field $K(x_g : g \in G)$ by $K$-automorphisms such that $g \cdot x_h = x_{gh}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $K(G)$ the fixed field $K(x_g : g \in G)^G$. Noether's problem asks whether $K(G)$ is rational (=purely transcendental) over $K$. It is related to the inverse Galois problem, to the existence of generic $G$-Galois extensions over $K$, and to the existence of versal $G$-torsors over $K$-rational field extensions [Sw; Sa1; GMS, 33.1, p.86]. Noether's problem for abelian groups was studied extensively by Swan, Voskresenskii, Endo, Miyata and Lenstra, etc. The reader is referred to Swan's paper for a survey of this problem [Sw].

---

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 13A50, 14E08, Secondary 11R32, 12F12, 14R20.
Keywords: Noether's problem, the rationality problem, the inverse Galois problem, $p$-groups.
Partially Supported by National Center for Theoretic Science (Taipei office).

On the other hand, just a handful of results about Noether's problem are obtained when the groups are not abelian. In this article we will restrict our attention to Noether's problem for non-abelian $p$-groups.

First we recall several known results of along this direction.

**Theorem 1.1** (Chu and Kang [CK]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian $p$-group of order $\leq p^4$ and exponent $p^e$. Assume that $K$ is any field such that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^e$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.2** (Kang [Ka1]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian metacyclic $p$-group of exponent $p^e$. Assume that $K$ is any field such that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^e$-th root of unity. The $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.3** (Saltman [Sa2]) *Let $K$ be any field with* $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ (*in particular, $K$ may be any algebraically closed field with* $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$). *There exists a non-abelian $p$-group $G$ of order $p^9$ such that $K(G)$ is not rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.4** (Bogomolov [Bo]) *There exists a non-abelian $p$-group $G$ of order $p^6$ such that $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not rational over $\mathbb{C}$.*

**Theorem 1.5** (Chu, Hu, Kang and Prokhorov [CHKP]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian group of order 32 and exponent $2^e$. Assume that $K$ is a field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = 2$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $2^e$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 1.6** (Chu, Hu, Kang and Kunyavskii [CHKK]) *Let $G$ be a non-abelian group of order 64 and $K$ be a quadratically closed field* (*in particular,* $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$). *Denote by $B_0(G,\mu)$ the unramified Brauer group of $G$ over $K$ (where $\mu$ is the multiplicative group of all roots of unity in $K\backslash\{0\}$), and by $G(i)$ the $i$-th group in the database of GAP for groups of order 64.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent,*

  (a) $B_0(G,\mu) \neq 0$;

  (b) $Z(G) \simeq C_2^2$, $[G,G] \simeq C_4 \times C_2$, $G/[G,G] \simeq C_2^3$, *$G$ has no abelian subgroup of index 2, and $G$ has no faithful 4-dimensional representation over $\mathbb{C}$;*

  (c) *$G$ is isomorphic to one of the nine groups $G(i)$ where $i =$ 149, 150, 151, 170, 171, 172, 177, 178, 182.*

(2) *If $B_0(G,\mu) \neq 0$, then $K(G)$ is not stably rational over $K$.*

(3) *If $B_0(G,\mu) = 0$, then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ except possibly for groups $G$ which is isomorphic to $G(i)$ with $241 \leq i \leq 245$.*

**Theorem 1.7** (Hu and Kang [HuK]) *Let $n \geq 3$ and $G$ be a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ such that $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of index $p$. Assume that $K$ is any field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

The main result of this article is the following theorem, which is a generalization of the above Theorem 1.7.

**Theorem 1.8** *Let $n \geq 3$ and $G$ be a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^n$ such that $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$. Assume that $K$ is any field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ *and $K$ contains a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

Using Theorem 1.7, the proof of Theorem 1.8 consists of three ingredients : (a) rationality criteria mentioned before and some other ones to be summarized in the next section, (b) classification of $p$-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$, which is due to Ninomiya (see Section 3), and (c) a case by case study of the rationality problems for the groups in (b). Although there are so many groups to be checked and a case by case study looks formidable, the rationality problems of most of these groups look rather similar. It turns out that there are only three typical cases, i.e. Case 1 and Case 5 of Section 4 and Case 5 of Section 5.

By the way, we remark that, if $K$ doesn't contain enough roots of unity (e.g. $K = \mathbb{Q}$) and $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group, the rationality of $K(G)$ is known only for a few cases at present. See [CHK; Ka2; Ka4] and the references therein.

By Theorem 1.8, it is possible to simplify the proof of Theorem 1.1 as follows. Using Theorem 1.8, to show that $K(G)$ is rational when $G$ is a non-abelian group with order $p^3$ or $p^4$, it suffices to consider the rationality problem of $K(G)$ where $G$ is a non-abelian $p$-group of order $p^4$ and exponent $p$ (such that $K$ is a field containing a primitive $p$-th root of unity). There are only two non-isomorphic groups of this type, i.e. (VI) and (VII) in [CK, Theorem 3.2]. The rationality of $K(G)$ for these two groups can be proved by the same method as in [CK].

We organize this article as follows. Section 2 contains more rationality criteria which will be used subsequently. In Section 3, we recall the classification of non-abelian $p$-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$ by Ninomiya [Ni], which was reproved by Berkovich and Janko [BJ1]. The proof of Theorem 1.8 is given in Section 4 and Section 5.

**Standing Notations.** Throughout this article, $K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ or $K(x, y)$ will be rational function fields over $K$. $\zeta_n$ denotes a primitive $n$-th root of unity. Whenever we write $\operatorname{char} K \nmid n$, it is understood that either $\operatorname{char} K = 0$ or $\operatorname{char} K > 0$ with $\gcd\{n, \operatorname{char} K\} = 1$. When we write $\zeta_n \in K$, it is assumed tacitly that $\operatorname{char} K \nmid n$. A field extension $L$ of $K$ is called rational over $K$ (or $K$-rational, for short) if $L \simeq K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ over $K$ for some integer $n$. $L$ is stably rational over $K$ if $L(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ is rational over $K$ for some $y_1, \ldots, y_m$ which are algebraically independent over $L$. Recall that $K(G)$ denotes $K(x_g : g \in G)^G$ where $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for $h, g \in G$.

A group $G$ is called metacyclic, if $G$ can be generated by two elements $\sigma$ and $\tau$, and one of them generates a normal subgroup of $G$. $C_n$ denotes the cyclic group of order $n$. The exponent of a finite group $G$ is $\operatorname{lcm}\{\operatorname{ord}(g) : g \in G\}$ where $\operatorname{ord}(g)$ is the order of $g$.

If $G$ is a finite group acting on a rational function field $K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ by $K$-automorphisms, the actions of $G$ are called purely monomial actions if, for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \le j \le n$, $\sigma \cdot x_j = \prod_{1 \le i \le n} x_i^{a_{ij}}$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$; similarly, the actions of $G$ are called monomial actions if, for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \le j \le n$, $\sigma \cdot x_j = \lambda_j(\sigma) \cdot \prod_{1 \le i \le n} x_i^{a_{ij}}$ where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_j(\sigma) \in K \backslash \{0\}$. All the groups in this article are finite groups.

## §2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall several results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.8.

**Theorem 2.1** (Kuniyoshi [Ku]) *Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$ and $G$ be a $p$-group. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 2.2** ([HK, Theorem 1]) *Let $G$ be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the rational function field of $n$ variables over a field $L$. Suppose that*

(i) *for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;*

(ii) *the restriction of the action of $G$ to $L$ is faithful;*

(iii) *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \sigma(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

*where $A(\sigma) \in GL_n(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is an $n \times 1$ matrix over $L$.*

*Then there exist elements $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = L(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \le i \le n$.*

**Theorem 2.3** ([AHK, Theorem 3.1]) *Let $L$ be any field, $L(x)$ the rational function field of one variable over $L$, and $G$ a finite group acting on $L(x)$. Suppose that, for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$ and $\sigma(x) = a_\sigma \cdot x + b_\sigma$ where $a_\sigma, b_\sigma \in L$ and $a_\sigma \neq 0$. Then $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$ for some polynomial $f \in L[x]$. In fact, if $m = \min\{\deg g(x) : g(x) \in L[x]^G \backslash L\}$, any polynomial $f \in L[x]^G$ with $\deg f = m$ satisfies the property $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$.*

**Theorem 2.4** ([KP, Theorem 1.9]) *Let $K$ be any field, $G_1$ and $G_2$ be two finite groups. If both $K(G_1)$ and $K(G_2)$ are rational over $K$, so is $K(G_1 \times G_2)$.*

**Theorem 2.5** ([Ha]) *Let $G$ be a finite group acting on the rational function field $K(x,y)$ by monomial $K$-automorphisms. Then $K(x,y)^G$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 2.6** (Fischer [Sw, Theorem 6.1; KP, Corollary 1.5]) *Let $G$ be a finite abelian group of exponent $e$, and let $K$ be a field containing a primitive $e$-th root of unity. For any linear representation $G \to GL(V)$ over $K$, the fixed field $K(V)^G$ is rational over $K$.*

**Theorem 2.7** ([Ka3, Theorem 1.4]) *Let $K$ be a field and $G$ be a finite group. Assume that* (i) *$G$ contains an abelian normal subgroup $H$ so that $G/H$ is cyclic of order $n$,* (ii) *$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain, and* (iii) *$\zeta_e \in K$ where $e$ is the exponent of $G$. If $G \to GL(V)$ is any finite-dimensional linear representation of $G$ over $K$, then $K(V)^G$ is rational over $K$.*

## §3. Ninomiya's Theorem

Let $n \geq 3$ and $p$ be a prime number. A complete list of non-abelian $p$-groups of order $p^n$ containing a cyclic subgroup of index $p$ was given by Burnside early in 1911 (see, for examples, [Su, p.107; HuK, Theorem 1.9; Ni, p.1]). The classification of non-abelian $p$-groups of order $p^n$ containing a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$ was completed rather late. This problem was investigated by Burnside, G. A. Miller, etc. (see [Ni, Remark 3]). The classification problem was solved by Yasushi Ninomiya in 1994 [Ni]. M. Kumar and L. Vermani, apparently ignorant of Ninomiya's paper, provides a partial list of these groups in [KV]. Unfortunately their list contained some mistakes, which were detected in [FN, p.31–32]. A different proof of Ninomiya's Theorem was given by Berkovich and Janko [BJ1, Section 11; BJ2, Section 74]. Now we state Ninomiya's Theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** (Ninomiya [Ni, Theorem 1]) *Let $n \geq 3$ and $p$ be an odd prime number. The finite non-abelian $p$-groups of order $p^n$ which have a cyclic subgroup of index $p^2$, but haven't a cyclic subgroup of order $p$ are of the following types:*

(I) $n \geq 3$
$G_1 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma\lambda \rangle$.

(II) $n \geq 4$
$G_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^{p^2} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{p^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_5 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{p^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_6 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{a \cdot p^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$
*where $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \backslash \{\bar{0}\}$ is a quadratic non-residue,*
$G_7 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^p = \lambda^p = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$.

(III) $n \geq 5$
$G_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^{p^2} = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+p^{n-4}} \rangle$,
$G_9 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = \tau^{p^2} = 1, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^{1+p} \rangle$.

(IV) $n \geq 6$
$G_{10} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{p^{n-2}} = 1, \sigma^{p^{n-3}} = \tau^{p^2}, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^{1-p} \rangle$.

(V) $n = 4$ *and* $p = 3$
$G_{11} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^9 = \tau^3 = 1, \sigma^3 = \lambda^3, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^6\tau \rangle$.

**Theorem 3.2** (Ninomiya [Ni, Theorem 2]) *Let $n \geq 4$. The finite non-abelian groups of order $2^n$ which have a cyclic subgroup of index 4, but haven't a cyclic subgroup of index 2 are of the following types:*

(I) $n \geq 4$
$G_1 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \tau^2, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_5 = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$.

(II) $n \geq 5$
$G_6 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_7 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}} \rangle$,
$G_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \tau^4, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_9 = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_{10} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{11} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}}, \sigma\lambda = \lambda\sigma, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{12} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{13} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{14} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \lambda^2, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{15} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}}, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{16} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-3}},$
$\lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{17} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{18} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = 1, \lambda^2 = \tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1}\tau \rangle$.

(III) $n \geq 6$

$G_{19} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-4}} \rangle$,
$G_{20} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^4 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}} \rangle$,
$G_{21} = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \tau^4, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \tau^{-1} \rangle$,
$G_{22} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{1+2^{n-4}}\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{23} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}}\tau, \lambda^{-1}\tau\lambda = \sigma^{2^{n-3}}\tau \rangle$,
$G_{24} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = \lambda^2 = 1, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}}\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,
$G_{25} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^{2^{n-2}} = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma^{2^{n-3}} = \lambda^2, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^{1+2^{n-3}}, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma^{-1+2^{n-4}}\tau,$
$\tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$,

(IV) $n = 5$
$G_{26} = \langle \sigma, \tau, \lambda : \sigma^8 = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma^4 = \lambda^2, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau = \sigma^5, \lambda^{-1}\sigma\lambda = \sigma\tau, \tau\lambda = \lambda\tau \rangle$.

## §4. Proof of Theorem 1.8 when $p \geq 3$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.8 when $p$ is an odd prime number.

If $\operatorname{char} K = p > 0$, apply Theorem 2.1. Thus $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.

From now on till the end of this section, we assume that $\operatorname{char} K \neq p$ and $K$ contains a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity where $G$ is a $p$-group of order $p^n$ with $n \geq 3$.

Throughout this section, we will denote by $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ for a primitive $p^{n-2}$-th root of unity.

Suppose that $G$ contains a cyclic subgroup of index $p$. Then $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 1.7. Thus we may consider only those groups $G$ which have no cyclic subgroup of index $p$, i.e. $G$ is one of the 11 groups listed in Theorem 3.1.

We explain the general strategy of our proof. Let $V$ be a $K$-vector space whose dual space $V^*$ is defined as $V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ where $G$ acts on $V^*$ by $h \cdot x(g) = x(hg)$ for any $h, g \in G$. Thus $K(V)^G = K(x(g) : g \in G)^G = K(G)$. We will find a faithful subspace $W = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k} K \cdot y_i$ of $V^*$. By Theorem 2.2, $K(G)$ is rational over $K(y_1, \ldots, y_k)^G$. In particular, if $K(y_1, \ldots, y_k)^G$ is rational over $K$, so is $K(G)$ over $K$. As we will see, this faithful subspace $W$ is constructed as an induced representation of certain 2-dimensional (or 3-dimensional) representation of some abelian subgroup of $G$. We will illustrate this idea in Step 1 of Case 1 in the following proof of Theorem 1.8.

Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.8 for $p \geq 3$.

*Case 1.* $G = G_1$ where $G_1$ is the group in Theorem 3.1.

Step 1. Recall that $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ and $V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ on which $G$ acts by the regular representation.

Define $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$. Thus $\omega$ is a primitive $p$-th root of unity.

Define $X_1, X_2 \in V^*$ be

$$X_1 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p^{n-2}-1} x(\sigma^j), \quad X_2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p-1} x(\lambda^j).$$

Note that $\sigma \cdot X_1 = X_1$ and $\lambda \cdot X_2 = X_2$.

Define $Y_1, Y_2 \in V^*$ by

$$Y_1 = \sum_{0 \le j \le p-1} \omega^{-j} \lambda^j \cdot X_1, \quad Y_2 = \sum_{0 \le j \le p^{n-2}-1} \zeta^{-j} \sigma^j \cdot X_2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto \zeta Y_2, \\ \lambda &: \ Y_1 \mapsto \omega Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $K \cdot Y_1 + K \cdot Y_2$ is a representation space of the subgroup $\langle \sigma, \lambda \rangle$.

Define $x_i = \tau^i \cdot Y_1$, $y_i = \tau^i \cdot Y_2$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$. It is easy to verify that, for $0 \le i \le p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ x_i \mapsto \omega^i x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \zeta y_i, \\ \tau &: \ x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ &\quad\ y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0, \\ \lambda &: \ x_i \mapsto \omega x_i, \ y_i \mapsto y_i. \end{aligned}$$

We find that $Y = \left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le p-1} K \cdot x_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le p-1} K \cdot y_i\right)$ is a faithful $G$-subspace of $V^*$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Step 2. For $1 \le i \le p-1$, define $u_i = x_i / x_{i-1}$ and $v_i = y_i / y_{i-1}$. Thus $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1) = K(x_0, y_0, u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ and, for every $\rho \in G$,

$$\rho \cdot x_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) \cdot x_0, \quad \rho \cdot y_0 \in K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) \cdot y_0,$$

while the subfield $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ is invariant (as a subfield) by the action of $G$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ u_i \mapsto \omega u_i, \ v_i \mapsto v_i, \\ \lambda &: \ u_i \mapsto u_i, \ v_i \mapsto v_i, \\ \tau &: \ u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ &\quad\ v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Theorem 2.3. We find that, if $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$, so is $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1)^G$ over $K$. It remains to show that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Since $\lambda$ acts trivially on $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$, we find that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G = K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}$.

Step 3. We will linearize the action of $\tau$ on $v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}$.

Define $t_0=1+v_1+v_1v_2+v_1v_2v_3+\cdots+v_1v_2\cdots v_{p-1}$, $t_1=1/t_0$, $t_i=v_1v_2\cdots v_{i-1}/t_0$ for $2\le i\le p$. Note that $\sum_{1\le i\le p} t_i=1$, $K(v_i:1\le i\le p-1)=K(t_i:1\le i\le p-1)$ and

$$\tau: t_0\mapsto t_0/v_1,\ t_1\mapsto t_2\mapsto\cdots\mapsto t_{p-1}\mapsto t_p=1-t_1-t_2-\cdots-t_{p-1}.$$

Thus $K(u_i,v_i:1\le i\le p-1)=K(u_i,t_i:1\le i\le p-1)$.

Define $T_i=t_i-(1/p)$ for $1\le i\le p-1$. Then $\tau: T_1\mapsto T_2\mapsto\cdots\mapsto T_{p-1}\mapsto -T_1-\cdots-T_{p-1}$.

Step 4. Write $L=K(u_i:1\le i\le p-1)$ and consider $L(T_i:1\le i\le p-1)^{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}$. Note that the group $\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle$ acts on the field $L$ as $\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle/\langle\sigma^p\rangle$ and is faithful on $L$. Thus we may apply Theorem 2.2 to $L(T_i:1\le i\le p-1)^{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}$. It remains to show that $K(u_i:1\le i\le p-1)^{\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle}$ is rational over $K$.

Define $z_1=u_1^p$, $z_i=u_i/u_{i-1}$ for $2\le i\le p-1$. Then $K(u_i:1\le i\le p-1)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}=K(z_i:1\le i\le p-1)$ and the action of $\tau$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau:\ & z_1\mapsto z_1z_2^p,\\ & z_2\mapsto z_3\mapsto\cdots\mapsto z_{p-1}\mapsto(z_1z_2^{p-1}z_3^{p-2}\cdots z_{p-1}^2)^{-1}\mapsto z_1z_2^{p-2}z_3^{p-3}\cdots z_{p-2}^2z_{p-1}\mapsto z_2.\end{aligned}$$

Define $s_1=z_2$, $s_i=\tau^{i-1}\cdot z_2$ for $2\le i\le p-1$. Then $K(z_i:1\le i\le p-1)=K(s_i:1\le i\le p-1)$ and

$$\tau: s_1\mapsto s_2\mapsto\cdots\mapsto s_{p-1}\mapsto(s_1s_2\cdots s_{p-1})^{-1}\mapsto s_1.$$

The action of $\tau$ can be linearized as in Step 3. Thus $K(s_i:1\le i\le p-1)^{\langle\tau\rangle}$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.6. Done.

*Case 2.* $G=G_2$.

$G$ is a metacyclic group. Apply Theorem 1.2. We find that $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 3.* $G=G_3$.

Define $H=\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle$. Then $G\simeq H\times C_p$. $K(H)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 1.2 (alternatively, by Theorem 1.7). $K(C_p)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.6. Thus $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.4.

*Case 4.* $G=G_4$.

By the same method as in Step 1 of Case 1, for the abelian subgroup $\langle\sigma,\tau\rangle$, choose $Y_1,Y_2\in V^*=\bigoplus_{g\in G}K\cdot x(g)$ such that $\sigma\cdot Y_1=Y_1$, $\sigma\cdot Y_2=\zeta Y_2$, $\tau\cdot Y_1=\omega Y_1$, $\tau\cdot Y_2=Y_2$ where $\zeta=\zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ and $\omega=\zeta^{p^{n-3}}$.

Define $x_i = \lambda^i \cdot Y_1$, $y_i = \lambda^i \cdot Y_2$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$. It follows that, for $0 \le i \le p-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \zeta y_i, \\ \tau &: \ x_i \mapsto \omega x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \omega^i y_i, \\ \lambda &: \ x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ &\quad\ y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$. The proof is almost the same as in Case 1. Define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ u_i \mapsto u_i, \ v_i \mapsto v_i, \\ \tau &: \ u_i \mapsto u_i, \ v_i \mapsto \omega v_i, \\ \lambda &: \ u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ &\quad\ v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Compare with the situation in Case 1. It is not difficult to show that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 5.* $G = G_5$.

Step 1. For the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ by the same way as in Case 4.

Define $x_i$, $y_i$ where $0 \le i \le p-1$ by the same formulae as in Case 4. Note that, for $0 \le i \le p-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ x_i \mapsto \omega^i x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \zeta \omega^{\binom{i}{2}} y_i, \\ \tau &: \ x_i \mapsto \omega x_i, \ y_i \mapsto \omega^i y_i, \\ \lambda &: \ x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ &\quad\ y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$ and $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$.

Define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$. It suffices to show that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: \ u_i \mapsto \omega u_i, \ v_i \mapsto \omega^{i-1} v_i, \\ \tau &: \ u_i \mapsto u_i, \ v_i \mapsto \omega v_i, \\ \lambda &: \ u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ &\quad\ v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It follows that $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = K(u_i, V_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ where $V_1 = v_1^p$ and $V_i = v_i/v_{i-1}$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$.

Note that $\sigma : V_1 \mapsto V_1$, $V_i \mapsto \omega V_i$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$. Moreover, $K(u_i, V_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = K(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ where $z_1 = u_1^p$, $w_1 = V_1$, $z_i = u_i/u_{i-1}$, $w_i = V_i/u_i$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$.

The action of $\lambda$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda :\ & z_1 \mapsto z_1 z_2^p,\ z_2 \mapsto z_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-1} \mapsto (z_1 z_2^{p-1} z_3^{p-2} \cdots z_{p-1}^2)^{-1},\\ & w_1 \mapsto z_1 z_2^p w_1 w_2^p,\ w_2 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{p-1} \mapsto A \cdot (w_1 w_2^{p-1} w_3^{p-2} \cdots w_{p-1}^2)^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $A$ is some monomial in $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{p-1}$.

We will “linearize” the above action.

Step 2. We write the additive version of the multiplication action of $\lambda$ in Formula (2), i.e. consider the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $M = \left(\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1} \mathbb{Z}\cdot z_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1} \mathbb{Z}\cdot w_i\right)$ corresponding to (2) where $\pi = \langle\lambda\rangle$. Thus $\lambda$ acts on the $\mathbb{Z}$-base $z_i$, $w_i$ $(1 \le i \le p-1)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda :\ & z_1 \mapsto z_1 + p z_2,\\ & z_2 \mapsto z_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-1} \mapsto -z_1 - (p-1)z_2 - (p-2)z_3 - \cdots - 2z_{p-1},\\ & w_1 \mapsto w_1 + p w_2 + z_1 + p z_2,\\ & w_2 \mapsto w_3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto w_{p-1} \mapsto -w_1 - (p-1)w_2 - (p-2)w_3 - \cdots - 2w_{p-1} + B\end{aligned}$$

where $B \in \bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1} \mathbb{Z}\cdot z_i$ (in fact, $B = \log A$ when interpreted suitably).

Define $M_1 = \bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1} \mathbb{Z}\cdot z_i$, which is a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-submodule of $M$. Define $M_2 = M/M_1$.

It follows that we have a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules

$$0 \to M_1 \to M \to M_2 \to 0. \tag{3}$$

It is easy to see that $M_1 \simeq M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules.

By Step 4 of Case 1, $M_1$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $N = \bigoplus_{1\le i\le p-1} \mathbb{Z}\cdot s_i$ where $s_1 = z_2$, $s_i = \lambda^{i-1}\cdot z_2$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$, and

$$\lambda : s_1 \mapsto s_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto s_{p-1} \mapsto -s_1 - s_2 - \cdots - s_{p-1} \mapsto s_1.$$

Let $\Phi_p(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be the $p$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\pi] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[T]/T^p - 1$, we find that $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]/\Phi_p(\lambda) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[T]/\Phi_p(T) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, the ring of $p$-th cyclotomic integer. Note that the $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-module $N$ can be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module through the morphism $\mathbb{Z}[\pi] \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi]/\Phi_p(\lambda)$. When $N$ is regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module, $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ the rank-one free $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module.

We claim that $M$ itself may be regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module, i.e. $\Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot M = 0$.

Return to the multiplicative notations in Step 1. Note that $z_i$ and $w_i$ (where $1 \le i \le p-1$) are monomials in $u_i$ and $v_i$ (where $1 \le i \le p-1$). The action of $\lambda$ on $u_i$, $v_i$ given in Formula (1) satisfies the relation $\prod_{0\le j\le p-1} \lambda^j(u_i) = \prod_{0\le j\le p-1} \lambda^j(v_i) = 1$ for any $1 \le i \le p-1$. Using the additive notations, we get $\Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot u_i = \Phi_p(\lambda)\cdot v_i = 0$ for $1 \le$

$i \le p-1$. Hence $\Phi_p(\lambda) \cdot m = 0$ for any $m \in M \subset \left(\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le p-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_i\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le p-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot v_i\right)$.

In particular, the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules in Formula (3) is a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-modules.

Since $M_1 \simeq M_2 \simeq N$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-module, the short exact sequence in Formula (3) splits, i.e. $M \simeq M_1 \oplus M_2$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-modules, and so as $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$-modules also.

We interpret the additive version of $M \simeq M_1 \oplus M_2 \simeq N^2$ in terms of the multiplicative version as follows: There exist $Z_i$, $W_i$ (where $1 \le i \le p-1$) such that $Z_i$ (resp. $W_i$) are monomials in $z_j$ and $w_j$ for $1 \le j \le p-1$ and $K(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) = K(Z_i, W_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$; moreover, $\lambda$ acts as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda :\ & Z_1 \mapsto Z_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto Z_{p-1} \mapsto (Z_1 \cdots Z_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ & W_1 \mapsto W_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto W_{p-1} \mapsto (W_1 \cdots W_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The above action can be linearized (see Step 3 of Case 1). Thus $K(Z_i, W_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \lambda \rangle}$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.6. This finishes the proof.

*Case 6.* $G = G_6$.

As in Case 5, for the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$; and define $x_i, y_i \in V^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that, for $1 \le i \le p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma :\ & x_i \mapsto \omega^i x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \zeta \omega^{\binom{i}{2} a} y_i, \\ \tau :\ & x_i \mapsto \omega x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \omega^{ia} y_i, \\ \lambda :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto x_0, \\ & y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$. The proof is almost the same as in the previous Case 5. For $1 \le i \le p-1$, define $u_i = x_i / x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i / y_{i-1}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma :\ & u_i \mapsto \omega u_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega^{(i-1)a} v_i, \\ \tau :\ & u_i \mapsto u_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega^a v_i, \\ \lambda :\ & u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{p-1})^{-1}, \\ & v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K(u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle \tau \rangle} = K(u_i, V_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ where $V_1 = v_1^p$, $V_i = v_i / v_{i-1}$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$. The action of $\sigma$ is given by

$$\sigma : V_1 \mapsto V_1,\ V_i \mapsto \omega^a V_i$$

for $2 \le i \le p-1$.

Define $z_1 = u_1^p$, $w_1 = V_1$, $z_i = u_i/u_{i-1}$, $w_i = V_i/u_i^a$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$. We get $K(u_i, V_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = K(z_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$. The remaining proof is the same as in Case 5.

*Case 7.* $G = G_7$.

As before, let $\zeta = \zeta_{p^{n-2}}$, $\xi = \zeta^p$, $\omega = \zeta^{p^{n-3}}$ and find $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in \bigoplus_{g\in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^p :&\ Y_1 \mapsto \xi Y_1,\ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2,\ Y_3 \mapsto Y_3, \\ \tau :&\ Y_1 \mapsto Y_1,\ Y_2 \mapsto \omega Y_2,\ Y_3 \mapsto Y_3, \\ \lambda :&\ Y_1 \mapsto Y_1,\ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2,\ Y_3 \mapsto \omega Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \le i \le p-1$, define $x_i = \sigma^i Y_1$, $y_i = \sigma^i Y_2$, $z_i = \sigma^i Y_3$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma :&\ x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{p-1} \mapsto \xi x_0, \\ &\ y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto y_{p-1} \mapsto y_0, \\ &\ z_0 \mapsto z_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{p-1} \mapsto z_0, \\ \sigma^p :&\ x_i \mapsto \xi x_i,\ y_i \mapsto y_i,\ z_i \mapsto z_i, \\ \tau :&\ x_i \mapsto \omega^{-i} x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \omega y_i,\ z_i \mapsto z_i, \\ \lambda :&\ x_i \mapsto \omega^{\binom{i}{2}} x_i,\ y_i \mapsto \omega^{-i} y_i,\ z_i \mapsto \omega z_i. \end{aligned}$$

Define $u_i = x_i/x_{i-1}$, $v_i = y_i/y_{i-1}$, $w_i = z_i/z_{i-1}$ where $1 \le i \le p-1$. It remains to show that $K(u_i, v_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Define $W_0 = 1 + w_1 + w_1 w_2 + \cdots + w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{p-1}$, $W_1 = 1/W_0$, $W_i = w_1 \cdots w_{i-1}/W_0$ for $2 \le i \le p-1$; define $U_i = u_i/\zeta$ for $1 \le i \le p-1$. It is easy to check that $K(u_i, v_i, w_i : 1 \le i \le p-1) = K(U_i, v_i, W_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma :&\ U_1 \mapsto U_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto U_{p-1} \mapsto (U_1 \cdots U_{p-1})^{-1} \mapsto U_1, \\ &\ v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto (v_1 \cdots v_{p-1})^{-1} \mapsto v_1, \\ &\ W_1 \mapsto W_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto W_{p-1} \mapsto 1 - W_1 - W_2 - \cdots - W_{p-1}, \\ \tau :&\ U_i \mapsto \omega^{-1} U_i,\ v_i \mapsto v_i,\ W_i \mapsto W_i, \\ \lambda :&\ U_i \mapsto \omega^{i-1} U_i,\ v_i \mapsto \omega^{-1} v_i,\ W_i \mapsto W_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By Theorem 2.2, if $K(U_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$, so is $K(U_i, v_i, W_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ over $K$. Thus it remains to show that $K(U_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Compare the actions of $\sigma$, $\tau$, $\lambda$ in Formula (4) with those in Formula (1). They look almost the same. Use the same method in Case 5. We find that $K(U_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le p-1)^{\langle\sigma,\tau,\lambda\rangle}$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 8.* $G = G_8, G_9, G_{10}$.

These groups are metacyclic $p$-groups. Apply Theorem 1.2 to conclude that $K(G)$ is rational over $K$.

*Case 9.* $G = G_{11}$.

This group is of order 81 and with exponent 9. Apply Theorem 1.1. We find that $K(G)$ is rational over $K$. Done.

## §5. Proof of Theorem 1.8 when $p = 2$

The idea of the proof for this situation is the same as that in Section 4.

Thanks to Theorem 2.1, we may assume that char $K \neq 2$ and $K$ contains $\zeta = \zeta_{2^{n-2}}$, a primitive $2^{n-2}$-th root of unity.

If $G$ is a non-abelian group of order 8, it is isomorphic to the dihedral group or the quaternion group. Thus $K(G)$ is rational over $K$ by [CHK, Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.7].

From now on, we assume $G$ is a non-abelian group of order $2^n$ with $n \geq 4$. Since Theorem 1.7 takes care of the case when $G$ has an element of order $2^{n-1}$, we may consider only the case when $G$ has an element of order $2^{n-2}$, but hasn't elements of order $2^{n-1}$. Hence we may use the classification of $G$ provided by Theorem 3.2. Namely, we will consider only those 25 groups in Theorem 3.2.

*Case 1.* $G = G_1, G_6, G_7, G_8, G_9, G_{19}, G_{20}, G_{21}$ in Theorem 3.2.

These groups are metacyclic groups. Apply Theorem 1.2. Done.

*Case 2.* $G = G_2, G_3, G_{10}, G_{11}, G_{12}$.

Each of these groups $G$ contains a subgroup $H$ such that $G \simeq H \times C_2$. Moreover, $H$ has a cyclic subgroup of index 2. For example, when $G = G_2$, take $H = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$. Apply Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 2.4.

*Case 3.* $G = G_4, G_5, G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{22}, G_{23}$.

Each of these groups $G$ contains an abelian normal subgroup $H$ of index 2. Apply Theorem 2.7.

*Case 4.* $G = G_{26}$.

This group is of order 32 and with exponent 8. Apply Theorem 1.5.

*Case 5.* $G = G_{15}$.

Denote $\zeta = \zeta_{2^{n-2}}$. Define $\xi = \zeta^2$.

As in the proof of the previous section, for the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1, Y_2 \in \bigoplus_{g \in G} K \cdot x(g)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &: \ Y_1 \mapsto \xi Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto Y_2, \\ \tau &: \ Y_1 \mapsto Y_1, \ Y_2 \mapsto -Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Define $x_0 = Y_1$, $x_1 = \sigma Y_1$, $x_2 = \lambda Y_1$, $x_3 = \lambda\sigma Y_1$, $y_0 = Y_2$, $y_1 = \sigma Y_2$, $y_2 = \lambda Y_2$, $y_3 = \lambda\sigma Y_2$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \xi x_0,\ x_2 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}x_3,\ x_3 \mapsto -x_2,\\
& y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto y_0,\ y_2 \mapsto y_3 \mapsto y_2,\\
\tau :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_0,\ x_1 \mapsto -x_1,\ x_2 \mapsto x_2,\ x_3 \mapsto -x_3,\\
& y_i \mapsto -y_i \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le 3,\\
\lambda :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_0,\ x_1 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1,\ y_0 \mapsto y_2 \mapsto y_0,\ y_1 \mapsto y_3 \mapsto y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le 3)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Since $G$ acts faithfully on $K(x_i : 0 \le i \le 3)$, we may apply Theorem 2.2 to $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le 3)^G$. It follows that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le 3)^G$ is rational over $K(x_i : 0 \le i \le 3)^G$. It remains to show that $K(x_i : 0 \le i \le 3)^G$ is rational over $K$.

Define $u_1 = x_0/x_1$, $u_2 = x_2/x_3$, $u_3 = x_1/x_2$. Apply Theorem 2.3 to $K(x_i : 0 \le i \le 3) = K(u_1, u_2, u_3, x_3)$. We find that $K(u_1, u_2, u_3, x_3)^G = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^G(w)$ for some element $w$ fixed by $G$. It suffices to show that $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^G = K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle\sigma,\tau,\lambda\rangle}$ is rational over $K$.

The action of $G$ is given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & u_1 \mapsto \xi^{-1}/u_1,\ u_2 \mapsto \xi^{-1}/u_2,\ u_3 \mapsto -\xi^2 u_1u_2u_3,\\
\tau :\ & u_i \mapsto -u_i \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le 3,\\
\lambda :\ & u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto u_1, u_3 \mapsto 1/(u_1u_2u_3).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\sigma^2(u_1) = u_1$, $\sigma^2(u_2) = u_2$, $\sigma^2(u_3) = \xi^2 u_3$.

Define $u_4 = u_3^{2^{n-4}}$. Then $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle\sigma^2\rangle} = K(u_1, u_2, u_4)$. Note that $\sigma(u_4) = (u_1u_2)^{2^{n-4}}u_4$ (because $n \ge 5$), $\tau(u_4) = u_4$, $\lambda(u_4) = 1/((u_1u_2)^{2^{n-4}}u_4)$.

Define $z_3 = (u_1u_2)^{2^{n-5}}u_4$. We find that $\sigma(z_3) = -z_3$, $\tau(z_3) = z_3$, $\lambda(z_3) = 1/z_3$.

Define $z_1 = u_1u_2, z_2 = u_1/u_2$. It follows that $K(u_1, u_2, u_4)^{\langle\tau\rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. Moreover, $\sigma(z_1) = \xi^{-2}/z_1, \sigma(z_2) = 1/z_2$, $\lambda(z_1) = z_1, \lambda(z_2) = 1/z_2$.

Define $v = (1 - z_2)/(1 + z_2)$. Then $\sigma(v) = -v, \lambda(v) = -v$. Apply Theorem 2.2 to $K(z_1, z_2, z_3)^{\langle\sigma,\lambda\rangle} = K(z_1, z_3, v)^{\langle\sigma,\lambda\rangle}$. We find that $K(z_1, z_3, v)^{\langle\sigma,\lambda\rangle}$ is rational over $K(z_1, z_3)^{\langle\sigma,\lambda\rangle}$. Note that $K(z_1, z_3)^{\langle\sigma,\lambda\rangle}$ is rational over $K$ by Theorem 2.5. Hence the result.

*Case 6.* $G = G_{16}$.

The proof is almost the same as the previous Case 5. For the abelian subgroup $\langle\sigma^2, \tau\rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$. Define $x_i$, $y_i$ (where $0 \le i \le 3$) by the same way and try to show that $K(x_i, y_i : 0 \le i \le 3)^G$ is rational. The action of $G$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_1 \mapsto \xi x_0,\ x_2 \mapsto -\xi^{-1}x_3,\ x_3 \mapsto -x_2,\\
& y_0 \mapsto y_1 \mapsto y_0,\ y_2 \mapsto y_3 \mapsto y_2,\\
\tau :\ & x_0 \mapsto x_0,\ x_1 \mapsto -x_1,\ x_2 \mapsto -x_2,\ x_3 \mapsto x_3,\\
& y_i \mapsto -y_i \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le 3,\\
\lambda :\ & x_0 \leftrightarrow x_2,\ x_1 \leftrightarrow x_3,\ y_0 \leftrightarrow y_2,\ y_1 \leftrightarrow y_3.
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to consider the rationality of $K(x_i : 0 \leq i \leq 3)^G$. Define $u_1, u_2, u_3$ by the same formulae as in the previous Case 5. It follows that the actions of $\sigma, \tau, \lambda$ on $u_1, u_2, u_3$ are completely the same as in Case 5, except that $\tau(u_3) = u_3$ in the present situation (in Case 5, we have $\tau(u_3) = -u_3$). The proof is the same as Case 5 and the details are omitted.

*Case 7.* $G = G_{17}$.

We give two proofs for this case.

For the first proof, we may use the same method in Case 5 of this section. For the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle$, find $Y_1$ and $Y_2$. Define $x_i$, $y_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Then define $u_1, u_2, u_3$ by the same way as in Case 5. Note that $\sigma^2(u_3) = -u_3$ in this case. Thus we define $u_4 = u_3^2$ in the present case (instead of defining $u_4 = u_3^{2^{n-4}}$ as in Case 5). Then define $z_3 = u_1 u_2 u_4, z_1 = u_1 u_2, z_2 = u_1/u_2$. We find that $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. Moreover, $\sigma(z_1) = -\xi^{-2}/z_1$, $\lambda(z_1) = z_1$. Since $-\xi^{-2} = \alpha^2$ where $\alpha = \sqrt{-1}\xi^{-1} \in K$, we define $v = (\alpha - z_1)/(\alpha + z_1)$. We find that $\sigma(v) = -v, \lambda(v) = v$. Thus we may apply Theorem 2.5. Done.

Alternatively, this group is the special case of $G_7$ in Theorem 3.1 when $p = 2$. Note that, in the Case 7 of Section 4, we don't use anything whether $p$ is odd or even. Thus the proof is still valid for this situation.

*Case 8.* $G = G_{18}, G_{24}, G_{25}$.

Again the proof is almost the same, but some modification should be carried out. We illustrate the situation $G = G_{18}$ as follows.

Consider the abelian subgroup $\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle$ and define $Y_1$, $Y_2$, $x_i$, $y_i$ (where $0 \leq i \leq 3$) and $u_i$ where $1 \leq i \leq 3$. By the same method as in Case 5, we can show that $K(u_1, u_2, u_3)^{\langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle} = K(z_1, z_2, z_3)$.

Now consider the action of $\sigma, \lambda$ on $z_1, z_2, z_3$. This time we will linearize the actions on $z_1$ by the same formula as in the first proof of Case 7. The remaining proof is the same as before.

The situation when $G = G_{24}$ or $G_{25}$ is the same as the situation $G = G_{18}$. Done.

# References

[AHK] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Rationality of some projective linear actions*, J. Algebra 228 (2000), 643–658.

[BJ1] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, *Structure of finite p-groups with given subgroups*, in “Ischia Group Theory 2004”, edited by Z. Arad etc., Contemp. Math. vol. 402, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2006.

[BJ2] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, *Groups of prime power order*, vol. 2, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2008.

[Bo] F. A. Bogomolov, *The Brauer group of quotient spaces by linear group actions*, Math. USSR Izv. 30 (1988), 455–485.

[CHK] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu and M. Kang, *Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004), 147–159.

[CHKK] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether's problem and the unramified Brauer groups for groups of order 64*, International Math. Research Notices (2010), No. 12, 2329–2366.

[CHKP] H. Chu, S. J. Hu, M. Kang and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Noether's problem for groups of order 32*, J. Algebra 320 (2008), 3022–3035.

[CK] H. Chu and M. Kang, *Rationality of p-group actions*, J. Algebra 237 (2001), 673–690.

[FN] M. Fuma and Y. Ninomiya, *“Hasse principle” for finite p-groups with cyclic subgroups of index* $p^2$, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 46 (2004), 31–38.

[GMS] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P. Serre, Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology, AMS Univ. Lecture Series vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2003.

[Ha] M. Hajja, *Rationality of finite groups of monomial automorphisms of* $K(x, y)$, J. Algebra 109 (1987), 46–51.

[HK] M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Some actions of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra 177 (1995), 511–535.

[HuK] S.-J. Hu and M. Kang, *Noether's problem for some p-groups*, in “Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems”, edited by F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, Progress in Math. vol. 282, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2010.

[Ka1] M. Kang, *Noether's problem for metacyclic p-groups*, Advances in Math. 203 (2005), 554–567.

[Ka2] M. Kang, *Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups II*, Pacific J. Math. 222 (2005), 301–316.

[Ka3] M. Kang, *Rationality problem for some meta-abelian groups*, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 1214–1219.

[Ka4] M. Kang, *Retract rationality and Noether's problem*, International Math. Research Notices (2009), No. 15, 2760–2788.

[KP] M. Kang and B. Plans, *Reduction theorems for Noether's problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 1867–1874.

[Ku] H. Kuniyoshi, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. 8 (1955), 65–67.

[KV] M. Kumar and L. R. Vermani, *On automorphisms of some $p$-groups*, Proc. Japan Acad. 78A (2002), 46–50.

[Ni] Y. Ninomiya, *Finte $p$-groups with cyclic subgroups of index $p^2$*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 36 (1994), 1–21.

[Sa1] D. J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Advances in Math. 43 (1982), 250–283.

[Sa2] D. J. Saltman, *Noether's problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 71–84.

[Su] M. Suzuki, *Group theory II*, Grund. Math. Wiss. vol. 248, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[Sw] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in "Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr", edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.